WEATHER AND CLIMATE: GLOBAL CHANGE AND LOCAL HAZARDS

FEEDBACK FROM EMS2019 PARTICIPANTS

MALEH KOOTVAL: GREAT FORECAST - POOR OUTCOME

WHY IMPACT-BASED FORECAST?
WHAT WORKED, WHAT DID NOT?

UTTARAKHAND, INDIA
FLOODED VILLAGES
DEATH: 5000+

WARNINGS 3 DAYS AHEAD!
HA'PÄI
2400+ EVACUATED
NO ELECTRICITY

WHAT TO DO FOR BETTER UNDERSTANDING?

THE DARK SHADOWS OF 2018
HGV HEAVY TRUCKS
SWITZERLAND IN CHAOS
02-03/2018
SNOW & COLD FROM SYBERIA

-28°C
LACK OF PUBLIC MEMORY (2011)
RELIGIOUS ISSUES
BUS EQUIPMENTS MISSING

ENGAGE CONSULTANTS COMMUNICATION EXPERTS
ENGAGE PEOPLE
DIRECT FEEDBACK

STRENGTHEN RELATIONSHIP
YES, YOU CAN STAY HOME, THAT'S OK NOW.
Feedback from conference participants

- published in December 2019 -

201 participants contributed to this survey.

Thank you.
And see you in Bratislava: ems2020.eu
What is your main motivation to attend the EMS Annual Meetings?

I attended mainly for a parallel workshop. I was also interested in most sessions and it is great to have the opportunity to meet so many people.

Network and get feedback on my work

Meeting friends

To get an overview where the research is going on broad spectrum of topics, many NWS issues, chance to meet a lot of people

Exchange knowledge on what are the main findings in meteorology/climatology and which is the research roadmap for the next future.

... And many more ...
How did you like the event in general?

- **Very much**: 20%
- **I like it**: 61%
- **OK**: 17%
- **Less**: 2%
- **Not at all**: 0%
Please rate the general conditions of our event

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Selected Location (Town)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Catering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>Inadequate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feedback from EMS2019 participants
What is most relevant to you during the event? (two answers possible)

- Programme schedule: 76%
- Location (town): 24%
- Topics: 67%
- Catering: 3%
- Breaks: 8%
- Wireless Internet access: 7%
- Seating: 4%
- Time keeping: 7%
- Schedule: 67%
- Catering: 3%
How did you find the ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session Type</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>OK</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session Programme?</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poster Sessions?</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening Session?</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards Sessions?</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feedback from EMS2019 participants
Collaboration & exchange: How do you rate the ...

- Opportunities for gaining new information/knowledge: 51% very good, 27% good, 19% ok, 2% poor, 1% very poor.
- Opportunities for exchange with other scientists, forecasters, users: 45% very good, 26% good, 24% ok, 4% poor, 1% very poor.
- Opportunities to develop new cooperation relationships: 38% very good, 34% good, 20% ok, 9% poor, 1% very poor.

Feedback from EMS2019 participants
How did you find the ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Very Good (%)</th>
<th>Good (%)</th>
<th>OK (%)</th>
<th>Poor (%)</th>
<th>Very Poor (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keynote lectures?</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhall discussion?</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening session?</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards sessions?</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you use social media to stay informed during the conference?

Yes: 22%
No: 78%
How did you learn about the EMS Annual Meeting 2019?

- e-mail: 14%
- website EMS: 23%
- EMS Member Society: 14%
- employer: 24%
- through a colleague: 54%
- mailing lists (climlist...): 7%
- other: 5%
Please rate the information provision through the conference site

- 48% rated it very good
- 29% rated it good
- 19% rated it ok
- 3% rated it poor
- 1% rated it very poor

Feedback from EMS2019 participants
Which is your preferred tool to access information on the programme?

During the conference:
- Website: 21%
- ems2019-app: 53%
- Programme book: 26%

After the conference:
- Website: 80%
- ems2019-app: 12%
- Programme book: 8%

Feedback from EMS2019 participants
EMS2020: would you be interested in the following workshops?

- Basic data assimilation concepts: 37%
- How to improve career prospects: 22%
- Python (beginners): 36%
- Python (advanced): 42%
- WRF (beginners): 34%
- WRF (advanced): 17%
- Other suggestions: 19%
Is the selected town relevant for your decision to attend the EMS Annual Meeting?

- No: 35%
- Yes: 40%
- Undecided: 18%
Would you like to receive info on other EMS & Members' activities?

69% Yes

31% No

To read recent issues of the ems-message or subscribe to it:
https://www.emetsoc.org/publications/ems-message
Because of the many sessions sometimes interesting sessions occur at the same time so it's a pity to miss some interesting talks. This year the room of the poster session was a bit awkward.

Coffee breaks. not enough cookies :(  

Location too far from the city center

Bad accessibility and thus long-time journey from the city

Some rooms were difficult to find on the first day

TALKS are not necessary. POSTER sessions are more important than monologue TALKS. I think a better conception of POSTER sessions is necessary

I did not find a hotel near the venue

More cakes, stronger coffee

Wireless internet access was poor